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**Topic and Research Question**

This master’s thesis’ aim is to compare the People’s Republic of China and Japan’s organizational culture, with special regards to the extent of power distance and individualism/collectivism. Two dimensions which are based on the Dutch researcher Geert Hofstede.

Due to globalisation, the internationalization of economic processes is rising rapidly and a profound knowledge of other countries’ organizational culture is indispensable for employees, but as well for employers. Since every society and country has developed its own identity, cultural differences are big and influence the daily business. In this thesis, the author wants to show differences and similarities between Japan and China. It is important to incorporate culture with a special focus on the following research questions, which based on Geert Hofstede’s model of 5 dimensions:

1. To what extend is power distance in Chinese and Japanese companies developed?
2. What is more important in Chinese and Japanese companies, individualism or collectivism?

**State of the Art**

The current State of the Art in terms of organizational culture, offers a wide range of literature with various approaches. This thesis is mainly based on Geert Hofstede’s cultural studies (1990), which are known as Model of Cultural Dimensions. A second favoured approach goes back to Edgar Schein (1995) and his research of organizational cultures. It serves in this thesis, as definition of organizational culture. Extensive research has been made about the term culture, though, scientists did not agree yet for a universally valid definition. Alexander Thomas (1998) offers one of the most common definitions, which is used in this thesis.

**Methodology and Approach**

The theoretical part of the thesis is based on secondary literature from various countries, first identifying and defining management, international- and intercultural management. The second theoretical approach is about culture and values and in which way culture and values affect corporate culture. It is followed by the definition of corporate culture, the thesis’ actual research topic and finally goes over to the methods of comparing cultures among each other.

**Main Facts**

Harmony in China is regarded as an instrument of stability for society. Before reaching a high position in e.g. an organization, it is necessary to control oneself and one’s family. China’s society is based on a system of strict hierarchy, which goes back to Confucius’ main thoughts, of strong authorities. This belief is still present in companies, and shows itself, for example through the top-down-principle. Employers and employees try to avoid open critics, since this would evoke a loss of face and disturb the harmony. They try to resolve problems in a more harmonized way. The system of administrative units, called Danwei, is still existing. Face and servility, as instrument to stabilize and control society. Due to that system, people are part of units and mainly not regarded as individuals. Another point, the stresses the social cohesion in China, is Guanxi. Guanxi stands for networks, which are usually widespread and go back to the family-orientated, Chinese social structure.

Japanese people believe, that balance is necessary to maintain nature. Due to this reason, people contribute to a harmonized and balanced society and in the specific case of this thesis: to a harmonized being at the company. People stress modesty and retentiveness. Loyalty and identification with one’s organization can be regarded, as kind of a religion. Even though hierarchy in Japan is important, people get involved in the decision making process.

Edward Twitchett Hall offers another approach to identify cultures, by distinguishing between High-context cultures and Low-context cultures (1976). According to Hall, High-context cultures can communicate in a verbally indirect way, since the message of a conversation can be understood through the cultural context itself. Communication in Low-context-cultures on the other hand is more open, precise, direct and linear.

The empirical part of the thesis is on the one hand based on secondary literature about organizational culture in China and Japan. On the other hand the author is trying to prove the findings of literature via self-conducted, guided interviews, with people, that either worked in China or in Japan for at least 6 months.

**Results**

1. To what extend is power distance in Chinese, and Japanese companies developed?

The research to answer the present question is based on the categories hierarchy & values, hierarchy & order, communication, and conflict behaviour. Since both, China and Japan, are built on strict hierarchy, conflicts generally don’t take place in public. Due to this reason, both countries do have a high power distance index (PDI). According to Hofstede, another criteria to elaborate the PDI, is to measure the fear of the employees, regarding expressing their own opinion towards the employer. In regards to secondary literature, both as well to conducted interview for this research, the result points again towards a high PDI, whereas the PDI in Austria is lower. Judging, whether the employee does feel fear or rather respect, towards the employer, is questionable and could not be identified during the research.

2. What is more important in Chinese and Japanese firms, individualism or collectivism?

The evaluation of individualism and collectivism, was conducted, based on the criteria Guanxi – networks. Danwei - administrative unit and Mianzi – loss of face. As for Japan, criteria was group ideology, family concept. Shusin Koyo – lifetime employment and Nenko Joretso – the seniority principle. Both, Japan and China, are based on Collectivism, which furthermore stresses a strict hierarchy. Due to this basic principle of respect, it is not likely to criticise in public, whereas harmony and order are being pursued. In both countries, people avoid being the centre of attention but try to be part of the collective society. In Japan, the principle of lifetime employment additionally strengthens the loyalty towards the company. Administrative units in China, on the other hand, are promoting their members security and encourage the collective sense.

According to the mentioned facts, the conclusion is, that both, China’s and Japan’s organizational culture is rather collective, than individualistic.
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