

Katharina Menz

The East Asian (Un)equal Growth Miracle Analysing Income Inequality in China and Korea

Topic and Research Question

The topic of this thesis is analysing income inequality in The People's Republic of China and the Republic of Korea. This analysis consists of two major parts, first, analysing and comparing income inequality measures across China and Korea, and second, investigating and contrasting government actions to reducing income inequality. The observed timeframe for analysing income inequality starts at the beginning of the new millennium and reaches to the present. Thus, this thesis' research question is the following:

How does income inequality differ across China and Korea since 2000 and what policy actions do the respective governments adopt to tackle income inequality?

Literature review

This thesis reviews a broad range of theoretical as well as comparative empirical literature on income inequality, its definitions, dimensions and measures. The main results of the literature review are an assessment as well as an axiomatic and practical evaluation of income inequality measures based on their origin, function, benefits and limitations.

Moreover, major publications on government actions reducing income inequality, namely social spending, tax structure and labour market policy are reviewed.

Further, income inequality in China has been studied widely, not only by Chinese scholars but also abroad. In contrast, relatively few publications exist on income inequality in Korea indicating research gaps.

Finally, a comparative analysis on income inequality and related government actions across China and Korea has not been found to been conducted yet.

Methodology and Approach

This thesis uses a comparative approach and developed the following theoretical framework based on the findings of the literature review.

Both the literature review as well as the results of this thesis show that income inequality is not a onedimensional issue, which can be explained by single indices. Instead, it has to be analysed from a variety of perspectives pointing out different characteristics of the income distribution, reflecting the relevance of this approach.

Question	Variable	Measures	Indicators/Criteria	
What is income and who receives it?	Income	Annual disposable income	Mean annual disposable household income	
	Income-receiving unit	Households		
How is the distribution of income?	Poverty	Poverty indicators	Absolute poverty line/rate/HCR Poverty gap Relative national poverty lines	
	Income inequality	Statistical indicators	90/10 Ratio 80/20 Ratio 90/50 Ratio Top income shares,	
How is income inequality?		Distributional measures	10%, 1%, 0.1%, 0.01% Gini index	
		Social spending	Education spending Health spending Social protection spd	
What is the government's commitment to reducing income inequality?	Policies adopted (based on CRI)	Tax policy	Progressivity (thresholds, corporate income tax rate, VAT rate, exemptions), harmful practices	
		Labour market conditions	Labour union rights Minimum wage Women's rights on the labour market	

Main Facts

This thesis incorporates and reviews income inequality data from a large number of different sources and thus expanded existing data bases such as the World Income Inequality Data (WIID) base.







Policy actions to reducing inequality are summarised in the following table.

				1"
	China	Korea	Evaluation	s
Spending policy	-High education	-High education	→ Apart from	ra
	spending, however,	spending	education spending,	
	lower than Korea's	-Much higher health	both governments'	e
	-Large increase in	spending than China	public spending is	
	health spending	-Much higher social	below average.	
	-Relatively low social	protection spending	Korea's	F
	protection spending	than China	commitment to	
	-Numerous policies	-Several policies	reducing income	
	addressing the very	addressing the very	inequality is higher	A
	bottom of the income	top of the income		
	spectrum	spectrum		th
Tax policy	-Progressive personal	-Progressive personal	→ Both	1
	income tax reform in	income tax reform in	governments have	
	2018: relieving bottom	2018: higher taxation	increased their	ļ
	income brackets	of high-income	commitment	-
	-Equal CIP 25%	brackets	through a PIT	
	-Higher VAT than	-Equal CIP 25%	reform in 2018.	k
	Korea, no inheritance,	-Lower VAT	Korea's	
	gift or wealth tax	-Progressive	commitment to	V
	~	inheritance and gift	reducing income	С
		tax, no wealth tax	inequality is	-
			slightly higher	ι
	-Lowest possible	-Relatively low	→ Both	L
Labour market policy	labour rights in law as	labour rights in law	governments	a
	well as in practice	as well as in practice	perform low	1 4
	-Women's rights on	-Women's rights on	regarding labour	
	the labour market	the labour market	rights. However,	
	exist, parental leave is	exist, parental leave	Korea has	
	stipulated	is stipulated	repeatedly	
	-Different, at regional	-High minimum	increased the	k
	levels set minimum	wage and several	minimum wage.	1 ^
	wages exist, relatively	recent increases	Korea's	
	lower than in Korea		commitment to	1 -
			reducing income	E
			inequality is higher	1

This thesis demonstrates that China and Korea not only show differences in their levels of income inequality but also in their structure. While China still faces large disparities at the bottom of the income distribution, Korea has experienced a vast increase in top income shares, as the top 10% of Korean income earners have captured more of the total income than their Chinese counterparts for the past decade. Second, this thesis analyses both Chinese and Korean government policies to reducing income inequality in 2018 and 2019. Both governments have increased their policy actions, particularly in regard to progressive taxation and social spending, however, Korea's commitment to reducing income inequality can be assessed as slightly higher than that of China. Also, evidence for distinctive strategies by the two governments in order to tackle income inequality has been provided. Finally, when considering income inequality in the context of economic growth, distinctive patterns in the Chinese and Korean growth "miracle" have been shown. While the Korean growth "miracle" was characterised by rather equal income growth in the course of economic growth, China's growth "miracle" has evolved quite contrastingly, implying that there is no single kind of East Asian growth "miracle" regarding income inequality. Also, the results ggest that income inequality is shaped by policy-making her than being a natural process accompanied by onomic arowth.

Results

ferences

references can be found in the full version of the MA sis available at http://othes.univie.ac.at

out the Author

tharina Menz holds a BSc in Economics from the enna University of Economics and Business. During the urse of her studies, she spent a semester abroad at the iversity of Hong Kong as well as the Australian National iversity and gained work experience in Chile, Austria the PRC.

ntact information: harina.menz@hotmail.com



amination Date: 02 August 2019